



# The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2025

Editor-in-Chief – Dr. Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

---

## The Soulful Role of Judiciary in Indian Democracy : A Silent Revolution in Motion

**Shristi Pandey**

In the vibrant tapestry of Indian democracy, the judiciary stands as a sentinel ensuring justice, preserving constitutional balance, and protecting the rights of citizens. As the third pillar of democracy alongside the Legislature and the Executive, the judiciary's independence and integrity are fundamental to the rule of law. In the vast and complex machinery of Indian democracy, *the judiciary stands not just as a pillar but as its moral conscience*. It speaks rarely, but when it does, the echoes shape the destiny of a billion lives. Behind its black robes and wooden benches lies an institution that has the power to balance politics with principles, governance with justice, and power with accountability.

In times when democratic institutions face stress, it is often the judiciary that upholds the idea of India not as a mere administrative unit, but as a living, breathing constitutional democracy.

### **The Judicial Mandate: More Than Interpretation**

True justice comes from the protection of individual freedom and dignity. In a democracy, the judiciary must ensure that no law, no majority, no government infringes upon the fundamental rights of the individual. *A real democracy is where the individual is respected above the crowd.* ensuring that the soul of the individual is not crushed by social norms, religious dogma, or government control.

Law should evolve with the consciousness of humanity, and that justice is not merely legal correctness but moral and existential awareness. A judiciary in a democracy, should function not merely as interpreters of law but as seekers of deeper truth.

The Indian Constitution entrusts the judiciary with a profound mandate not merely as a forum for dispute resolution, but as the guardian of the Constitution itself. Empowered by Articles

124 to 147 (Supreme Court) and Articles 214 to 231 (High Courts), the judiciary penetrates deeply into the nation's fabric through instruments like judicial review, enabling it to strike down laws or actions that violate constitutional provisions; writ jurisdiction under Articles 32 and 226 to enforce fundamental rights; and Public Interest Litigation (PIL), a transformative tool that democratized access to justice. The evolution of the Basic Structure Doctrine in *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973) further fortified judicial oversight by ensuring Parliament remains within constitutional limits. Yet, beyond these legal mechanisms lies the judiciary's higher duty to safeguard democracy from descending into tyranny of the majority and to ensure that rights remain inviolable entitlements, not conditional privileges.

### **A Voice for the Voiceless**

Ambedkar strongly believed that the judiciary must protect the Constitution from any violation by the legislature or executive. "The Constitution is not a mere lawyer's document. It is a vehicle of Life, and its spirit is always the spirit of Age."

*"Justice without compassion is injustice."* Justice system based on love, compassion, and understanding, rather than punishment and revenge and must be a punitive system and advocate for reformative justice. The judiciary in a democracy, then, should not merely punish but heal society and guide people towards inner transformation.

The Indian judiciary has consistently amplified the voices of those society often marginalizes the poor, the silenced, the abused, and the overlooked. In *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997), it issued pioneering guidelines on sexual harassment at the workplace, stepping in before legislative action. In *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018), it decriminalised homosexuality, prioritising individual dignity over societal dogma. From intervening in the *Unnao* and *Hathras* cases, where executive inertia endangered human dignity, to championing environmental protection through principles like 'polluter pays' and sustainable development, the judiciary has not merely acted it has led. This is not judicial overreach but judicial courage an assertion of constitutional conscience in moments of institutional silence.

### **Technical Strengths and Systemic Gaps**

*"We must make our political democracy a social democracy as well."* Ambedkar wanted the judiciary to ensure that laws apply equally to all, and no one whether majority or minority, high caste or low caste is above the law.

While globally respected for its rich jurisprudence, the Indian judiciary grapples with significant internal challenges that undermine its effectiveness. With over 5 crore pending cases, the adage "justice delayed is justice denied" takes on a grave urgency. Inadequate infrastructure, judicial vacancies, and a lack of diversity on the bench further strain the system's credibility and resilience. The Collegium System, though designed to shield appointments from political interference, has drawn criticism for its opacity. At times, allegations of judicial overreach into policy domains have raised concerns about institutional boundaries. Yet, these very challenges offer a chance for meaningful reform strengthening transparency, enhancing efficiency, and modernizing the system without eroding the judiciary's constitutional independence.

### **The Road Ahead: A Judiciary Ready for Tomorrow**

As India advances into a new era of democratic maturity, the judiciary must evolve not just structurally, but in its very ethos. Embracing technology through AI-driven case management, e-courts, and digital filings must shift from being experimental to integral. A reimagined All India Judicial Services could infuse the system with fresh talent anchored in accountability. Equally vital are sensitivity training, gender justice education, and regional representation, which are essential, not optional. Above all, the judiciary must uphold its constitutional duty even when swayed by populist outrage or executive pressure, echoing Justice H.R. Khanna's unwavering belief that the judiciary's role is not to win popularity, but to uphold justice.

### **Conclusion: Democracy's Last Line of Defence**

In a country as plural and volatile as India, the judiciary cannot afford to be just an institution it must remain an idea: of reason above rage, of law above power, and of justice above politics. *laws must serve the liberty of the individual*, and the judiciary must shield citizens from arbitrary power. While elections can install governments, and parliaments can make laws it is only a strong, independent, and sensitive judiciary that can ensure that these acts remain faithful to the Constitution. The Indian judiciary is not perfect but in the democratic storm, it often becomes the lighthouse. It may falter, but it does not fall. It may be slow, but it rarely strays. Judiciary as a living embodiment of constitutional morality a system built not just on legality, but on justice, fairness, and human dignity.