The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2026

Editor-in-Chief – Dr. Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

FROM EXCEPTION TO EXCESS: ANALYZING THE EVOLUTION AND CONTEMPORARY TRANSFORMATION OF MTP ACT, 1971

Anshu Meena

The Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act, 1971, represents a landmark piece of legislation in Indian legal history, marking a shift toward recognizing reproductive autonomy and protecting the physical and mental health of women. While its enactment was a progressive step for its time, the law has undergone significant evolution to address modern medical, legal, and human rights standards.

THE EVOLUTION OF MTP ACT, 1971

In the post-independence era, abortion was largely governed by the Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860, which criminalized the procedure unless performed to save the life of the mother. Recognizing that unsafe, clandestine abortions were a major cause of maternal mortality, the Government of India established the Shantilal Shah Committee in the 1960s. The recommendations of this committee paved the way for the MTP Act, 1971, which decriminalized abortion under specific conditions and provided a legal framework for the procedure.

THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

The 1971 Act allowed for the termination of pregnancy by a Registered Medical Practitioner (RMP) if the continuation of the pregnancy involved a risk to the life of the pregnant woman or a risk of grave injury to her physical or mental health.

1. **Grounds for Termination**: The law recognized that the anguish caused by an unwanted pregnancy could be presumed to constitute a "grave injury to the mental health" of a

woman. It also accounted for pregnancies resulting from the failure of contraceptive methods.

2. **Time Limits:** Originally, the Act set a limit of 20 weeks for termination. If the pregnancy was between 12 and 20 weeks, the opinion of two RMPs was required.
3. **Medical Oversight:** Access was strictly regulated, requiring that procedures be performed only at government-approved facilities or certified private clinics by trained professionals.

THE 2021 AMENDEMENT

The MTP (Amendment) Act of 2021 brought several long-awaited changes to address the barriers that had historically hindered access to safe care:

1. Extended Gestation Limits: The limit for legal termination was extended to 24 weeks for "special categories" of women, including survivors of sexual assault, rape, minors, and women with disabilities.
2. Increased Autonomy: The amendment removed the requirement for a second doctor's opinion for terminations up to 20 weeks, and the opinion of only one RMP is required up to 20 weeks, with two RMPs required between 20 and 24 weeks.
3. Medical Boards: To handle complex cases—such as those involving substantial fetal abnormalities detected after 24 weeks—the Act mandated the establishment of Medical Boards in states and union territories.
4. Privacy Protection: The 2021 law explicitly emphasizes the confidentiality of the woman seeking the termination, protecting her identity from public disclosure. Judicial Interpretation and Reproductive Rights.

The judiciary has played a crucial role in expanding the scope of the MTP Act. In various instances, Indian courts have interpreted the Act in light of Article 21 of the constitution, which guarantees the right to life and personal liberty. Courts have increasingly moved toward a "holistic" assessment, considering the woman's personal, medical, and socio-economic circumstances.

Recent jurisprudence has emphasized that reproductive autonomy is an essential facet of a woman's right to dignity and bodily integrity. Decisions have often moved away from rigid

adherence to technical time limits in cases where the health or life of the woman is at stake, ensuring that the law serves as a tool for empowerment rather than a hurdle.

CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION

Despite the liberalization of the law, significant challenges remain in ensuring equitable access such as:

1. **The Rural-Urban Divide:** A large portion of certified abortion facilities remain concentrated in urban areas, leaving rural populations reliant on informal, and often unsafe, providers.
2. **Stigma and Barriers:** Cultural and social taboos continue to discourage women from seeking formal care. Furthermore, young and unmarried women often face discrimination and difficulty in accessing services, even though the law does not distinguish based on marital status.
3. **Provider Availability:** There is a persistent shortage of trained RMPs in many districts, and the restrictive nature of facility certification often limits the number of institutions that can legally provide the service.

CONCLUSION

The MTP Act has transitioned from a restrictive colonial-era framework to a modern policy that theoretically prioritizes the health and autonomy of pregnant individuals. While the legislative framework has become increasingly progressive, the true measure of its success lies in its on-the-ground implementation. Bridging the gap between the law as written and the reality of health services remains the most significant task for public health policy in India, ensuring that every woman has the safe, dignified, and confidential reproductive care that the law intends.