



The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2026

Editor-in-Chief – Dr. Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

The Evolution of the RBI Ombudsman Scheme: From Multiple Ombudsmen to the Integrated Scheme

-Deeksha chhipa

Anyone who has had trouble getting a bank to correct an incorrect deduction or to respond to a complaint has firsthand knowledge of the frustration that comes with such institutional silences. For millions of Indian consumers, the Ombudsman framework of the RBI has provided them with an avenue before their disputes have progressed to the point where they end up going to court. This framework was not an instantaneous development, having been developed over several decades, expanding from the narrow 1995 framework through successive consolidations, and now to the Reserve Bank – Integrated Ombudsman Scheme, 2026, effective from July 1, 2026, superseding the prior 2021 framework.

1995: The Original Banking Ombudsman Scheme

The Banking Ombudsman Scheme of the RBI was introduced in 1995 through Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act of 1949, which provides a mechanism for customers to make service complaints against banks without cost and in a non-judicial fashion through a senior RBI official acting as an independent arbitrator. Given the diversification of India's banking industry during the later 1990s and the 2000s, this narrow framework became increasingly obsolete.

2006: A Broader, Restructured Scheme

The Banking Ombudsman Scheme, 2006 widened the categories of eligible complaints and streamlined procedures, formalizing appointment rules typically Chief General Manager or General Manager-rank officers, serving up to three years. This version remained the backbone of banking grievance redressal for over a decade.

Fragmentation: Separate Schemes For NBFCs and Digital Transactions

With NBFCs becoming major lenders and payments moving online, the RBI came up with separate schemes covering NBFCs and digital transactions: The Ombudsman Scheme for

Non-Banking Financial Companies (2018) and the Ombudsman Scheme for Digital Transactions (2019). India thus ended up with three separate schemes operating in their own jurisdictions. This led to confusion, since a dispute could arise against both a bank, an NBFC, and a payment provider, while consumers had no way to know how to proceed.

2021: One Nation, One Ombudsman

In order to eliminate this fragmentation, the RBI introduced the Reserve Bank – Integrated Ombudsman Scheme (RB-IOS), which was rolled out on November 12, 2021. The RB-IOS repealed the earlier schemes and combined them into a single framework on the principle of "One Nation, One Ombudsman." Consumers would be able to submit their claims to the portal without identifying which specific scheme they are applying under. It established a Deputy Ombudsman level and a free of charge procedure. Coverage of entities continued to increase in 2025, the RBI extended the scope of the scheme to state and central co-operative banks along with commercial banks, NBFCs, and credit information companies.

2026: The Newest Iteration

1. Just five years later, the RBI replaced its own regulation with a revised regulation titled RB-IOS, 2026 beginning on July 1, 2026; complaints and appeals lodged before this date shall continue being regulated by the 2021 scheme. The new regulation retains the single-window approach but plugs several operational loopholes as follows:
2. A formal Alternative Grievance Redress framework has been set up, which brings the Offices of the RBI Ombudsman and Centralized Receipt and Processing Center (CRPC) as well as Consumer Education & Protection Cells and the Consumer Education & Protection Department under one framework.
3. Explicit coverage limits – commercial banks, regional rural banks and cooperatives having deposits over limit; most NBFCs registered with RBI are having assets above limit; non-banking prepaid payment instruments issuing companies; credit information companies.
4. Defined limits for compensation – for consequential loss up to ₹30 lakhs plus up to ₹3 lakhs for harassment, mental anguish or wasting time & expense.
5. Detailed admissibility rules defining clearly when an application can be rejected – matters pending in court, application made after stipulated time, etc.
6. A structured appeals process before Appellate Authority, which can reject, accept, send back for retrial, or modify the award. Filing windows for complainants and regulated entities are of 30 days.

The scheme has drawn upon four different legislations, namely, the Banking Regulation Act, the RBI Act, the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, and the Credit Information Companies Act, which indicates that many entities are now under one roof. All registered entities should appoint a Principal Nodular Officer to resolve complaints, and the Ombudsman has the right to involve any other entity in the matter based on the

non-compliance of the latter involved in the complaint, or it could decide the case ex-parte and give an award in case of default of the entity, provided that this entity did not respond within a period of 15 days. Thus, it may be concluded that the RB-IOs, 2026 is a refinement rather than a reinvention of what had been set in place in 2021, along with introducing needed clarity in the procedure as well as compensation ceilings.

Importance Of Consolidation

Today's financial transactions rarely involve just one kind of institution; a transaction can involve a bank, a payment app, and a technology intermediary in a single UPI transaction. If there is no consolidated mechanism in place, the accountability will be shared by all parties and consumers will have to manage disputes on their own. However, by bringing several schemes together and going through the entire process again in 2026, the RBI's ombudsman mechanism has become closer to a single-window solution for financial consumers.

Conclusion

This transformation can be compared to processing of banking in India in general and its shift from a straightforward, weakly connected system into a complex interconnected digital system. Every stage has managed to fill a gap that was existing for consumers: first, a redress process was established, then coverage of the scheme was expanded to NBFCs and digital payments, then fragmentation was eliminated, and now the process has become clearer and compensation powers have been improved. The fact that the RBI itself amended its own integrated framework after just five years is evidence that it views consumer grievances redressal not as a set-in-stone process but as one that has to evolve along with the financial system.