



The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2026

Editor-in-Chief – Dr. Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

TRANSGENDER EMPLOYMENT LAW AND WORKPLACE INCLUSION IN CORPORATE INDIA

~ Naesha Goradia¹

ABSTRACT

The translation of constitutional guarantees into substantive professional reality remains a critical frontier for corporate governance frameworks and digital economy landscapes in India. This article focuses on the socio-economic status of transgender professionals in Corporate India, analysing the shift in structure from an inflexible biological binary system to a flexible legal structure. Though the early landmark judgments placed gender identity within the Fundamental Rights of Part III of the Indian Constitution, applying such mandates to the organizational context of private firms continues to create a gap between the progressive legislation and existing procedural practices.

This analysis reviews the regulatory framework of the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, alongside the changes introduced by the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Amendment Act, 2026. This examination will focus on judicial guidelines for substantive reasonable accommodation that have been defined by the apex court. The study considers the structural obstacles labour laws, organizational compliance mechanisms and takes the discussion beyond superficial measures of diversity and explains how private sector entities can incorporate equity into their structures to realize the constitutional transformation of dignity, equality, and economic justice in the organized labour sectors of the country.

Keywords: *Corporate governance frameworks, Fundamental rights, Transgender Persons Act, organizational compliance mechanisms, Economic justice*

¹ Student, B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.), School of Law, SNDT University, Mumbai

INTRODUCTION

The promise of a constitutional democracy lies in its capacity to extend equal protection, human dignity, and systemic access to justice to every segment of the society. The transgender community in India has been subjected to deep socio-economic exclusion for many years now, being effectively left out of all channels of livelihood and thus rendered to be vulnerable. Traditional theories of human rights have often been concerned with ensuring civil and political freedoms vis-a-vis the actions of the state, but today's law has understood that true emancipation can never be achieved without economic empowerment, occupational access and workplace equality

In Corporate India, the integration of transgender individuals has become not simply a corporate choice of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), nor an arbitrary standard for diversity dashboards under Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) dashboards instead, it has evolved into a serious legal process, with its roots deeply embedded in the Constitution, revised statutory laws and judicial intervention. The private sector, which acts as a primary engine of economic growth in the country, has thus become one of the central stages for the fight for real equality. Livelihood has become the fundamental channel through which identity is asserted.

This article explores the evolving legal landscape surrounding transgender-employment and workplace-inclusion within India's private and commercial sector. In particular, this paper assesses and analyses the fundamental principles of the Constitution, examines the loopholes and mechanisms through which the statutory laws have been enforced, analyses the shifts in the court of law, and determines the organizational changes that need to be brought in order to bridge the gap between the written letter of the law and the true workplace reality.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL BACKGROUND: FROM RECOGNITION TO SUBSTANTIVE EQUALITY

The present-day legal architecture concerning the rights of transgender people in India finds its base in the foundational provisions provided under the Constitution of India. For almost six decades, the interpretation of Part III of the Constitution was made within the purview of a strictly biological understanding of gender, whereby gender-diverse individuals more precisely 'citizens' of the country remained entirely outside the protection of law. This rigid paradigm

was thoroughly dismantled by the Supreme Court of India in the transformative landmark ruling of *National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) v. Union of India* (2014)².

The Supreme Court gave a specific definition of a "transgender person," from a socio-legal point of view, to support their protective measures. The Court clarified that the term "transgender" covers people whose gender identity, gender expression, or behaviour does not conform to the sex they were born into. The term encompasses pre-operative, post-operative, and non-operative trans-sexuals along with various socio-cultural groups of people living in India, such as *Hijras*, *Kinnars*, *Aravanis*, and *Jogtas*, including intersex variations. By adopting this inclusive definition, the judiciary firmly established the protection of gender identity lies on the psychological recognition of one's own gender identity rather than on biological or surgical conformity.

Therefore, the Supreme Court officially recognized the transgenders as "the third gender", declaring that "*gender identity is phenomenal to a person's existence and is inherent in a person's right to life, dignity, and autonomy*". It expanded the semantic domain of the constitutional rights and stated that the word "person" used under Article 14³ includes non-conforming genders as well, thereby giving the absolute *Right to Equality before the law*⁴ to everyone. Also, the court expressly included 'gender identity' in the list of protected categories against discrimination in 'sex' under Articles 15 and 16⁵. Article 16 provides for the equal opportunity in matters of employment. The inclusion of 'sex' to psychological and self-perceived gender means that the government cannot deny employment to someone due to their gender non-conformity.

Notably, the NALSA doctrine paved the foundations of these protections under Article 21⁶, which provided that the Right to Life includes the 'right to live with dignity, self-respect, and privacy'. This doctrinal approach set out a mandate that economic marginalization and discrimination at workplace violated the fundamental right to life. In succeeding years, this doctrine was expanded further and decriminalized consensual homosexual acts in the case of *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018)⁷, wherein the Supreme Court held that Constitutional morality had to prevail over societal morality, compelling employers to

² *National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India*, (2014) 5 SCC 438 (India).

³ India Const. art. 14.

⁴ *Id* art. 15, 16.

⁵ *Id* art. 14.

⁶ *Id* art. 21.

⁷ *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India*, (2018) 10 SCC 1 (India).

reconsider institutional diversity. Where Article 16 applies specifically to public service employment, Article 21 has been extended horizontally to include Private, Corporate and Commercial organizations. The judicial consensus in this respect holds that private commercial organizations, being significant players in the Indian economy, could not insulate themselves from constitutional obligations. This way, the right to livelihood on an equal footing and absence of a discriminatory work environment has been recognized as one of the important elements of the horizontal application of fundamental rights, setting the stage for a structural transformation of employment practices across Corporate India.

STATUTORY BLUEPRINTS: THE TRANSGENDER PERSONS ACT, 2019

In order to implement the judicial guidelines laid out in the NALSA judgement, the Parliament introduced the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019. This legislation can be considered the basic legislative instrument governing corporate conduct and ensuring protection of the rights of trans-individuals from any form of discrimination in the labour markets within the private sector. The key elements of the statute are provided for by Section 3 and Section 9⁸, which provide for stringent and clear prohibitions against employment discrimination. According to,

Section 3(c)⁹, *“any government or private establishment shall not discriminate against a transgender person in recruitment, employment or occupation or termination of employment or occupation”*.

In addition, according to **Section 9**, *“no establishment shall discriminate against any transgender person in matters related to recruitment, promotion, job allocation, shifts, remuneration and professional training”*.

Apart from mere non-discrimination, the Act and the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Rules, 2020¹⁰ require that organized corporate workplaces comply actively with their duties towards structural equality. Firstly, each corporate establishment is required by law to develop an ‘Equal Opportunity Policy’, which must be published either on the website of the company or within the physical working premises. The policy will state the organizational commitments to trans inclusion through infrastructure and culture. Secondly, under Section

⁸ The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, No. 40, Acts of Parliament, 2019 §§ 3, 9 (India).

⁹ *Id* § 3(c).

¹⁰ Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Rules, 2020, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Noti. No. G.S.R. 592(E), dated Sept. 25, 2020 (India).

11¹¹, the Act requires that corporations designate a Complaint Officer who will be responsible for handling cases of violation or cases of discrimination.

Moreover, companies must introduce both physical and logistical changes to allow transgender employees to perform their professional duties effectively. This involves making available gender neutral or unisex bathrooms, adapted lockers, and safety measures in the workplace. There are also strict requirements regarding confidentiality because, by law, the corporation must guarantee the privacy of the transition information and the gender identity of the employee in question, without disclosing the information without the employee's consent to anyone else. The legal framework removes the traditional historical shield of absolute "private autonomy" and legally mandates the corporate entities to ensure their HR algorithms and panel are completely devoid of any gender identity prejudice.

JUDICIAL ENFORCEMENT AND SUBSTANTIVE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

In spite of the formal measures instituted through the Act of 2019, structural discrimination in the private sector operates quite implicitly, hiding behind subjective and conventional evaluation standards such as 'organizational fit' and 'cultural mismatch'. Understanding the systemic gaps in the process, the Indian judiciary has adopted a dynamic posture in moving forward from a passive, formal framework of non-discrimination into an active process of reasonable accommodation. This ensures that the corporate entity takes proactive steps in eliminating structural barriers as opposed to simply not engaging in explicit discrimination.

This jurisprudential development holds its foundation in the landmark case of *Jane Kaushik v. Union of India & Ors.* (2025)¹². In this case, a competent transgender teacher found herself abruptly fired and her employment status standing cancelled by the private educational institutions after coming out with her transgender identity papers. Through its groundbreaking ruling, the Supreme Court took on the issue of implicit discrimination at the hands of private entities, noting that such organizations never record their transphobic motives, but give vague performance-based reasons instead. First, the Supreme Court bridged the implementation gap through the constitution of a 'Special Committee on Transgender Rights', responsible for developing a universal, legally binding Model Equal Opportunity Policy in both public and private premises. This model policy guarantees that corporations cannot escape the law by

¹¹ The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, No. 40, Acts of Parliament, 2019 § 11 (India).

¹² *Jane Kaushik v. Union of India*, 2025 INSC 1248 (India).

using general statements but rather need to put into practice concrete goals in terms of recruitment, work environment, and retention.

Second, the ruling of Jane Kaushik delivered an important clarification regarding personal autonomy and workplace documentation. The Court declared that no transgender or gender non-conforming person can be legally obligated to get permission from the corporate body in order to undergo gender-affirming treatments, such as Gender Reassignment Surgery (GRS). Employers are strictly barred from demanding intrusive medical proof or conditioning corporate identity updates on surgical verification. The corporate entity's role is strictly administrative: upon receiving a reasonable notice of transition, the employer is legally obligated to update internal office databases, organizational email handles, and communications to reflect the employee's chosen name, pronouns, and gender identity. By prioritizing self-determination over medicalization, the judiciary has set a clear benchmark for corporate human resource practices in India.

TRADITIONAL BINARY LEGISLATIONS AND INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES

Though there is a solid theoretical foundation of inclusion through the constitutional framework and judiciary processes, their implementation into practice within the business environment is hindered by numerous institutional barriers. In fact, the main barrier to the successful application of these laws relates to the gap that exists between compliance and structural integration. Most companies create anti-discrimination policies only to protect themselves against possible legal risks or meet the minimum requirements of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance). Nevertheless, such policies will be useless without the fundamental revision of the recruitment process and HRIS (Human Resource Information System). Recruiters tend to show biases in visual assessment and structural interview, selecting cis-gender individuals on the grounds of selecting the best "cultural fit" for the organization; which continuous to keep the entry for trans-professional barred.

Compounding this corporate inertia is a significant legislative bottleneck: Indian Labour Laws poses a substantial systemic barrier to integration of businesses. Several important labour and welfare legislations like the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961¹³, Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948¹⁴, and state-level Shops and Establishments Act¹⁵ were written with binary and gendered

¹³ The Maternity Benefit Act, 1961, No. 53, Acts of Parliament, 1961 (India).

¹⁴ The Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948, No. 34, Acts of Parliament, 1948 (India).

¹⁵ The Bombay Shops and Establishments Act, 1948, Bombay Act No. 79 of 1948 (India).

language only. All of these have defined compliance criteria through the use of the terms "men" and "women."

For instance, corporate compliance forms, mandatory annual returns, and health insurance policy benefit plans usually operate on the binary framework. Lack of legal harmonization makes the implementation of leave policies, provident fund disbursement, and medical insurance coverage difficult for non-binary or transgender employees, which becomes a compliance hurdle for HR personnel who are ready to standardize trans-inclusive corporate benefits. Thus, if a corporate entity seeks to provide its employee undergoing transition or non-binary person with comprehensive medical coverage and parental leave, the statutory software systems and tax portals will usually refuse the input because of the strict binary validation field. It is a problem where even good-faith efforts of corporations are rendered useless due to outdated legal infrastructure, forcing transgender professionals to constantly navigate and claim their basic workplace and occupational rights.

PATH TO TRANS-INCLUSIVE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

To transcend mere metrics of diversity and develop an organizational ecosystem that upholds constitutional ideals and statutory mandates, Corporate India needs to undertake a radical transformation in its structure. The first step involves reforms in recruitment that help in dismantling the gatekeeping systems. It entails the development of blind resume policies, wherein names, photographs, and any signs denoting the gender identity of the candidate should be removed at the preliminary stages of selection. At the same time, HR departments need to create talent pools through associations with specific queer-friendly professional and civil societies that focus on evaluating candidates based on their qualifications and skillsets.

The second important pillar is about the structural modernization of employee benefit systems in the corporation. Traditional health care plans in corporations need to be revised and transformed into ones which will operate under non-binary models. There should be comprehensive corporate health plans which will explicitly cover mental health care, hormone replacement therapy, and gender affirming procedures without requiring unnecessary medical board verification. In addition to that, the traditional frameworks of parental and medical leaves need to be modified into gender-neutral leaves.

Furthermore, organizational inclusion demands proactive cultural and spatial adjustments. Firms should incorporate their internal human resource information systems (HRIS) with flexible and adaptive software that will allow workers to change their preferred name,

pronouns, and gender identity without difficulties on all digital interfaces such as communication platforms, corporate e-mail addresses, and directory services. The flexibility should be complemented with mandatory sensitization training of management to avoid biases such as deadnaming and misgendering. Physical space should be subject to regular spatial auditing in order to ensure access to safe and comfortable gender-neutral bathrooms and changing rooms. Integrating all these changes in a single governance strategy will help corporations move from merely defending their rights to being inclusive workplaces and economically-just organizations.

CONCLUSION

Transgender employment law jurisprudence in India has had an unprecedented transformation whereby it has evolved from a total social invisibility status to a mandatory court enforced right to workplace equality. This has been facilitated by the continuous incorporation of the fundamental NALSA doctrine as well as the statutory compliance mechanisms set out in the Transgender Persons Act, 2019 and *Jane Kaushik* case. These have put a legal obligation on Corporate India. 'Diversity cannot be considered as just an add-on; it is a constitutionally mandated obligation.'

Inclusion at work places can neither be accomplished simply through the preparation of protective policies nor through the creation of separate diversity objectives. It requires a total restructuring of the systems employed within corporate structures, which could include everything from recruitment algorithms to the physical environment in corporate offices. With the Supreme Court making it mandatory for the Model Equal Opportunity Policy to be implemented in all organized labour sectors, Corporate India has an excellent opportunity for leadership. Through eliminating implicit biases and adopting reasonable accommodation, corporations will not only ensure their adherence to law but also live up to the constitutional promise of dignity, equality, and economic justice to the transgender community.