The Indian Journal for Research in Law and Management

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2026

Editor-in-Chief – Dr. Muktai Deb Chavan; Publisher – Alden Vas; ISSN: 2583-9896

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT, 1872 – FRAMEWORK, KEY PROVISIONS AND CASE LAWS

-AASHI KUMARI

Overview

The Indian Contract Act of 1872 is still the main law relating to agreements in India. Although it was passed over 150 years ago, it has managed to establish a clear and stable framework which sets enforceable contracts apart from ordinary arrangements. The provisions of the Act are equally applicable to traditional commercial transactions as well as to modern digital ones. It includes exact criteria for deciding when mutual intentions turn into legally binding obligations. Owing to this careful method, the law has been able to stay relevant in the face of changing economic circumstances while at the same time giving practical advice to businesses, professionals and individuals.

The Indian Contract Act remains strong due to the way it combines flexibility with enforceability; it requires only a small number of formalities and at the same time provides clear and practical tests which eliminate ambiguity and safeguard legitimate expectations. By including practical examples, the abstract rules become at once useful for the preparation of contracts, for carrying out risk assessments and for enforcement. This blend therefore gives professionals and businesses a dependable source that is just as suitable for ordinary transactions as it is for complicated digital agreements.

Core Definition

A contract is defined in Section 2(h) as an agreement that is enforceable by law. According to Section 2(e), an agreement is comprised of every promise and every group of promises which serve as consideration for one another. The key point by which an agreement becomes a

contract is determined by Section 10. Specifically, an agreement will only become a contract when it is made by the free consent of parties who are competent to contract, for a lawful consideration and with a lawful object, and is not explicitly declared void by the Act.

Illustration

A agrees to sell B 100 bags of wheat at a price of ₹3,000 per bag with delivery to be made within seven days and B agrees to pay upon delivery. Both parties are adults with sound minds, the terms are clear and the object of the agreement is lawful; therefore, the arrangement meets the requirements of Section 10 and is a valid contract. On the other hand, a casual promise between friends to meet for dinner does not create any legal obligation.

ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS UNDER SECTION 10

Offer and Acceptance

The process of formation starts with an offer made under Section 2(a). An offer is comprised of a clear indication of one's willingness to do or to refrain from doing something, accompanied by the intention of securing the agreement of the other party. Acceptance, as defined in Section 2(b), turns the offer into a promise. Section 7 states that acceptance must be absolute and without qualification; if it includes any variation, then it is treated as a counter-offer. The rules concerning communication, as set out in Sections 3 to 6, specify when each communication is complete and are equally applicable to exchanges carried out electronically.

ILLUSTRATION

A says to B, "I will sell my car to you for ₹5 lakh." This constitutes a valid offer. When B replies, "I accept", a contract is then formed. But if B replies, "I accept on the condition that you include the music system", then that reply is a counter-offer and the original offer becomes void. In another typical case, A puts up an advertisement stating that they will pay ₹10,000 to anyone who finds and returns a lost dog. B, being aware of the advertisement, finds and returns the dog; acceptance happens through performance and A is then obliged to pay the reward.

CONSIDERATION

The concept of consideration, as explained in Section 2(d), is what provides the aspect of exchange; it involves an act, a failure to act or a promise made out of the promisor's desire and can be something that has already happened, is currently happening or will in the future. Consideration can come from the promisee or from any other person. The general principle according to Section 25 is that an agreement lacking consideration is void, with some exceptions. Both the consideration and the object must be lawful as required by Section 23.

ILLUSTRATION

A agrees to sell his books to B for ₹100, and B's promise to pay ₹100 serves as consideration for A's promise to sell the books, and similarly, A's promise to sell the books is consideration for B's promise to pay ₹100. If A cleans B’s garden without being asked and B afterwards promises to pay ₹500, that promise is not enforceable since the act was not carried out at B's desire; but if B first asks A to clean the garden and then makes a promise to pay, valid consideration does exist. An agreement to sell goods acquired by smuggling would be invalid under Section 23 because the object of the agreement is unlawful.

CAPACITY OF PARTIES

Section 11 limits contractual capacity to persons who have reached the age of majority, are of sound mind, and are not excluded by any law; agreements entered into by minors are void from the outset, as established in Mohori Bibee v. Dharmodas Ghose (1903).

ILLUSTRATION

A 16-year-old agrees to buy a motorcycle on basis, but this agreement is invalid. The seller cannot make the minor pay the remaining instalments, though the minor's property could be held responsible for necessaries as provided by the relevant provisions. A person who is normally of sound mind, but who is delirious from fever at the time of signing is not able to enter into a valid contract during that period.

FREE CONSENT

The consent provided for in Section 13 is where the parties have agreed to the same thing in the same sense. Consent is considered to be free if it is not the result of coercion, undue influence, fraud, misrepresentation or a mistake (as stated in Sections 14 to 22). If consent is impaired, the contract is usually voidable at the discretion of the party who has been affected.

ILLUSTRATION

A threatens to harm B if B does not lend him ₹2,000; B agrees on the basis of that threat. The agreement can be annulled at B's discretion since his consent was secured through coercion. In a different case, A owns two cars, one of which is red and the other black, and has the intention of selling the red car to B. B thinks that he is purchasing the black car. There is no consensus ad idem and so no valid contract is formed.

CERTAINTY AND POSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE

Any agreement is rendered void if its meaning is not certain or if it is not possible to make it certain. Both initial impossibility and subsequent frustration are dealt with in Section 56. With regard to the case of *Satyabrata Ghose v. Mugneeram Bangur & Co.* (1954), the Supreme Court made it clear that frustration can only occur when performance has become genuinely impossible or unlawful.

ILLUSTRATION

The agreement is invalid because of uncertainty; however, if A, who deals in coconut oil, agrees to sell 'one hundred tons of oil', the character of the business makes the meaning clear and the agreement becomes valid. An agreement to find treasure by magic is void since the performance is impossible. Temporary difficulty or an increased cost does not constitute frustration.

LANDMARK INTERPRETATIONS

The case of *Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.* in 1893 proved that an open offer made to the public may be accepted by means of performance. The case of *Lalman Shukla v. Gauri Dutt* in 1913 showed that it is necessary to have knowledge of the offer. The case of *Bhagwandas Goverdhandas Kedia* in 1966 held that when communication is instantaneous the contract is

concluded upon the receipt of the acceptance. The principles from *Hadley v. Baxendale* are still used to determine damages under Section 73, the recovery being limited to losses which arise naturally or which were within the parties' contemplation.

REMEDIES AND ALLIED PROVISIONS

The framework for compensation in the event of a breach is set out in Section 73. Moreover, the Act covers contingent contracts, quasi-contracts, indemnity, guarantee, bailment, pledge and agency, establishing a consistent system of commercial and personal obligations.

CONCLUSION

The Indian Contract Act of 1872 serves as essential infrastructure for both commercial and personal commitments in India. Because its principles have been made clear by the use of examples and judicial interpretation, they still offer reliable guidance without involving unnecessary complexity. When legal professionals have a good understanding of the main provisions, backed by specific examples, they are given a solid basis for dealing with ordinary agreements as well as with the changing aspects of commercial practice.